

1 ℓ_p and L_p norms

(a) ℓ_p norms on \mathbb{R}^n . ℓ_p norms on \mathbb{R}^n . Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, define

$$\|x\|_{\ell_p} := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \|x\|_{\ell_\infty} := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|.$$

Also, define the unit ball $B_{\ell_p}^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq 1\}$.

(a1) (Comparison and monotonicity) Prove that if $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, then

$$\|x\|_{\ell_q} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|x\|_{\ell_q}.$$

Deduce that $\|x\|_{\ell_p}$ is (weakly) decreasing in p and that the unit balls are nested:

$$B_{\ell_p}^n \subseteq B_{\ell_q}^n \text{ for } p \leq q.$$

Solution:

First we prove $\|x\|_{\ell_q} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_p}$:

i. Case 1: $q < \infty$.

If $x \neq 0$ normalize x , and let $y = x/\|x\|_{\ell_p}$. Then, $\|y\|_{\ell_p} = 1$, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^p = 1$. Since $\sum_i |y_i|^p = 1$, each $|y_i|^p \leq 1 \implies |y_i| \leq 1$. So, for $q \geq p$, $|y_i|^q \leq |y_i|^p$. Summing, we get,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^q \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^p = 1,$$

which implies that $\|y\|_{\ell_q} \leq 1$. Hence,

$$\|x\|_{\ell_q} = \|x\|_{\ell_p} \|y\|_{\ell_q} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_p}. \quad \square$$

ii. Case 2: $q = \infty$.

For each i , $|x_i|^p \leq \sum_j |x_j|^p = \|x\|_{\ell_p}^p$, so $|x_i| \leq \|x\|_{\ell_p}$. Taking the maximum,

$$\max\{|x_i|\} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_p} \implies \|x\|_{\ell_\infty} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_p}.$$

Hence, in all cases,

$$\boxed{\|x\|_{\ell_q} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_p}.$$

Now, we prove $\|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|x\|_{\ell_q}$:

i. Case 1: $q < \infty$.

For $x = 0$, the inequality holds trivially. For $x \neq 0$, normalize x and let $y = x/\|x\|_{\ell_q}$ such that $\|y\|_{\ell_q} = 1$ i.e., $\sum_i |y_i|^q = 1$. Let $r = \frac{q}{p} \geq 1$ and $s = \frac{r}{r-1} = \frac{q}{q-p}$ so that $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$. Now, we apply Holder's inequality to $\sum_i |y_i|^p \cdot 1$, which gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (|y_i|^p)^r \right)^{1/r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n 1^s \right)^{1/s} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^{pr} \right)^{1/r} n^{1/s}.$$

Here, $pr = q$ and $\sum_i |y_i|^q = 1$, so

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^p \leq n^{1/s} \implies \|y\|_{\ell_p} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq n^{1/ps}.$$

Here, $\frac{1}{ps} = \frac{q-p}{pq} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$. Hence, going back to x from y ,

$$\|y\|_{\ell_p} = \left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|_{\ell_q}} \right\|_{\ell_p} \leq n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \implies \|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|x\|_{\ell_q}. \quad \square$$

ii. Case 2: $q = \infty$.

Here, we need to prove $\|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq n^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\ell_q}$ as $1/q = 0$ for $q = \infty$. Then,

$$\|x\|_{\ell_p} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\max |x_i|)^p \right)^{1/p} = n^{1/p} \max |x_i| = n^{1/p} \|x\|_{\ell_\infty}.$$

Hence, in all cases,

$$\|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|x\|_{\ell_q}.$$

Finally, combining all the results, if $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, then

$$\|x\|_{\ell_q} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|x\|_{\ell_q}.$$

As we proved $\|x\|_{\ell_q} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_p}$ for $q \geq p$, $\|x\|_{\ell_p}$ is non-increasing in p , i.e., it is (weakly) decreasing in p . And, for $q \geq p$, if $\|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq 1$, then using above result $\|x\|_{\ell_q} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq 1$.

Hence, if $x \in B_{\ell_p}^n$, then $x \in B_{\ell_q}^n$, i.e., $B_{\ell_p}^n \subseteq B_{\ell_q}^n$ for $p \leq q$. \square

(a2) (When are the bounds tight?) Give examples of nonzero vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\|x\|_{\ell_p} = \|x\|_{\ell_q} \quad \text{and} \quad \|y\|_{\ell_p} = n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|y\|_{\ell_q}.$$

Solution:

Examples for tighter bounds:

i. For $x = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|x\|_{\ell_p} = \|x\|_{\ell_q} = 1$.

ii. For $y = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|y\|_{\ell_p} = n^{1/p}$ and $\|y\|_{\ell_q} = n^{1/q}$.

Dividing $\|y\|_{\ell_p}$ by $\|y\|_{\ell_q}$, we get $\|y\|_{\ell_p} = n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|y\|_{\ell_q}$.

(a3) [Bonus] (The ℓ_∞ limit) Show that $\|x\|_{\ell_p} \rightarrow \|x\|_{\ell_\infty}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Also, show the quantitative bound

$$\|x\|_{\ell_\infty} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq n^{1/p} \|x\|_{\ell_\infty}.$$

Conclude that if $p \geq \log n$ then $\|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq e \|x\|_{\ell_\infty}$. (Here, \log denotes the natural logarithm.)

[Bonus] Solution:

Substituting $q = \infty$ in the inequality we prove in (a1), we get,

$$\|x\|_{\ell_\infty} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq n^{1/p} \|x\|_{\ell_\infty}.$$

We know $n^{1/p} \rightarrow 1$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. So, taking the limit of $p \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|_{\ell_\infty} \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/p} \|x\|_{\ell_\infty} \implies \|x\|_{\ell_\infty} \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_\infty}.$$

Hence, using the sandwich theorem,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|_{\ell_p} = \|x\|_{\ell_\infty} \text{ i.e., } \|x\|_{\ell_p} \rightarrow \|x\|_{\ell_\infty} \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, if $p \geq \log n$, then $\log n^{1/p} \leq 1$, which implies $n^{1/p} \leq e$. Hence, $\|x\|_{\ell_p} \leq e \|x\|_{\ell_\infty}$. \square

(b) **L_p norms of random variables.** Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space and let X be a real-valued random variable. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, define

$$\|X\|_{L_p} := (\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{1/p}, \quad \|X\|_{L_\infty} := \text{ess sup}|X|.$$

Write $L_p := \{X : \|X\|_{L_p} < \infty\}$.

(b1) (Monotonicity and inclusions) Prove that, for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$,

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \leq \|X\|_{L_q}.$$

Deduce the set inclusion $L_q \subseteq L_p$ for $q \geq p$.

Solution:

i. Case 1: $q = \infty$

By the definition of the essential supremum, $|X| \leq \|X\|_{L_\infty}$ almost everywhere. So, $(|X|)^p \leq (\|X\|_{L_\infty})^p$ and taking expectation gives,

$$\mathbb{E}[(|X|)^p] \leq \mathbb{E}[(\|X\|_{L_\infty})^p] = \|X\|_{L_\infty}^p.$$

So,

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \leq \|X\|_{L_\infty}.$$

ii. Case 2: $q < \infty$

Consider $\phi(t) = t^{q/p}$ on $[0, \infty)$. Since $q \geq p$, ϕ is convex. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|X\|_{L_p} &= (\mathbb{E}[|X|^p])^{1/p} = \left[(\mathbb{E}[|X|^p])^{q/p} \right]^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left(\mathbb{E}[(|X|^p)^{q/p}] \right)^{1/q} \quad [\text{Using Jensen's inequality on } \phi(\cdot)] \\ &= (\mathbb{E}[|X|^q])^{1/q} \\ &= \|X\|_{L_q} \end{aligned}$$

So, for $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$,

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \leq \|X\|_{L_q}.$$

Hence, combining all cases, for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$,

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \leq \|X\|_{L_q}.$$

Now, let $X \in L_q$, then $\|X\|_{L_q} < \infty$. By the inequality above,

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \leq \|X\|_{L_q} < \infty,$$

so $X \in L_p$. Therefore,

$$L_q \subseteq L_p \text{ for } q \geq p.$$

- (b2) (No dimension-free equivalence) Explain why there cannot exist a universal constant C such that $\|X\|_{L_q} \leq C\|X\|_{L_p}$ holds for all random variables on general probability spaces whenever $p < q$. Give an explicit example of X such that $X \in L_p$ but $X \notin L_q$.

Solution:

There cannot exist a universal constant C such that

$$(\mathbb{E}[|X|^q])^{1/q} \leq C (\mathbb{E}[|X|^p])^{1/p}$$

for all random variables on general probability spaces whenever $p < q$ because the higher order moment for the random variable might not exist. If the higher order moment (q -th moment) of random variable X doesn't exist then $\mathbb{E}[|X|^q] = \infty$, which cannot be bounded above by a finite p -th moment ($\mathbb{E}[|X|^p] < \infty$) for X . For example, let $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $\Omega = (0, 1)$ with Lebesgue measure, and define

$$X(\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \mathbf{1}_{A_n}(\omega),$$

with disjoint sets A_n of probability $\mathbb{P}\{A_n\} = 2^{-n}$ and amplitudes $a_n = 2^{n/p} n^{-2/p}$. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|^p] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \mathbb{P}\{A_n\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2^n n^{-2}) 2^{-n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} < \infty.$$

But for $q > p$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|^q] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^q \mathbb{P}\{A_n\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2^{nq/p} n^{-2q/p}) 2^{-n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n(q/p-1)} n^{-2q/p} = \infty$$

because $q/p - 1 > 0$ makes the $2^{n(q/p-1)}$ explode. So $X \in L_p$ but $X \notin L_q$.

- (b3) [Bonus] (The L_∞ limit) Assume $\|X\|_{L_\infty} < \infty$. Show that $\|X\|_{L_p} \rightarrow \|X\|_{L_\infty}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

[Bonus] Solution:

By the definition of the essential supremum, $|X| \leq \|X\|_\infty$ almost everywhere. So, using the result from part (b1), we get

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|X\|_{L_p} \leq \|X\|_{L_\infty}.$$

Now, let $M = \|X\|_{L_\infty}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $A_\varepsilon = \{\omega : |X(\omega)| > M - \varepsilon\}$. By the definition of the essential supremum, $\mathbb{P}\{A_\varepsilon\} > 0$. On A_ε , $|X|^p > (M - \varepsilon)^p$, so using the indicator function $\mathbf{1}_{A_\varepsilon}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|^p] \geq \mathbb{E}[|X|^p \mathbf{1}_{A_\varepsilon}] \geq (M - \varepsilon)^p \mathbb{P}\{A_\varepsilon\}.$$

Taking the p -th root:

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \geq (M - \varepsilon) \mathbb{P}\{A_\varepsilon\}^{1/p}.$$

As $p \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathbb{P}\{A_\varepsilon\}^{1/p} \rightarrow 1$. Thus,

$$\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|X\|_{L_p} \geq M - \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary and holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|X\|_{L_p} \geq M = \|X\|_{L_\infty}$.

Hence, using the sandwich theorem,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|X\|_{L_p} = \|X\|_{L_\infty}.$$

2 Matrix norms

Throughout, let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ be conformable matrices, and let $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$. Recall $\|x\|_p$ denotes the ℓ_p norm on Euclidean spaces. For any $s \in [1, \infty]$, let s' denote the conjugate exponent: $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s'} = 1$ (with the conventions $1/\infty = 0$ and $1/0 = \infty$).

(a) **The $p \rightarrow q$ operator norm.** Define the induced (operator) norm

$$\|A\|_{p \rightarrow q} := \sup_{\|x\|_p \leq 1} \|Ax\|_q.$$

(a1) (Equivalent definitions) Show that

$$\|A\|_{p \rightarrow q} = \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_q = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_q}{\|x\|_p}.$$

Solution:

First we prove $\sup_{\|x\|_p \leq 1} \|Ax\|_q = \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_q$. Clearly $\{\|x\|_p = 1\} \subseteq \{\|x\|_p \leq 1\}$, so

$$\sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_q \leq \sup_{\|x\|_p \leq 1} \|Ax\|_q.$$

Now, for the other direction of the inequality, take any x with $\|x\|_p < 1$. If $x = 0$, $\|Ax\|_q = 0$, so it doesn't affect the inequality. For $x \neq 0$, define $y = x/\|x\|_p$ so $\|y\|_p = 1$. Then, by homogeneity,

$$\|Ax\|_q = \|x\|_p \|Ay\|_q \leq \|Ay\|_q.$$

Hence, every value attained inside the ball is less than some value on the sphere, i.e.,

$$\sup_{\|x\|_p \leq 1} \|Ax\|_q \leq \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_q.$$

Combining both directions, we get,

$$\sup_{\|x\|_p \leq 1} \|Ax\|_q = \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_q.$$

Now, we prove $\sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_q = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_q}{\|x\|_p}$. For any $x \neq 0$, as before, define $y = x/\|x\|_p$ so $\|y\|_p = 1$, because the equality holds trivially for $x = 0$. Then,

$$\frac{\|Ax\|_q}{\|x\|_p} = \frac{\|x\|_p \|Ay\|_q}{\|x\|_p} = \|Ay\|_q$$

Here, as $x \neq 0$ ranges over \mathbb{R}^n , the ratio $\|Ax\|_q/\|x\|_p$ takes exactly the same set of values as $\|Ay\|_q$ with $\|y\|_p = 1$. So, their supremums are equal, i.e.,

$$\sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_q}{\|x\|_p} = \sup_{\|y\|_p = 1} \|Ay\|_q.$$

Putting both results together,

$$\|A\|_{p \rightarrow q} = \sup_{\|x\|_p \leq 1} \|Ax\|_q = \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_q = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_q}{\|x\|_p}.$$

(a2) (Submultiplicativity) Prove the composition bound

$$\|AB\|_{p \rightarrow r} \leq \|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} \|B\|_{p \rightarrow q}.$$

Solution:

We know,

$$\|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} = \sup_{u \neq 0} \frac{\|Au\|_r}{\|u\|_q} \implies \|Au\|_r \leq \|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} \|u\|_q \quad \forall u.$$

Substituting $u = Bx$, $\|ABx\|_r \leq \|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} \|Bx\|_q$. Taking the supremum over $\|x\|_p \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|x\|_p \leq 1} \|ABx\|_r &\leq \sup_{\|x\|_p \leq 1} \|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} \|Bx\|_q \iff \|AB\|_{p \rightarrow r} \leq \|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} \sup_{\|x\|_p \leq 1} \|Bx\|_q \\ &\iff \|AB\|_{p \rightarrow r} \leq \|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} \|B\|_{p \rightarrow q}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

(a3) [Bonus] (Duality form and transpose relationship) Show the bilinear representation

$$\|A\|_{p \rightarrow q} = \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1, \|y\|_{q'} = 1} |y^\top Ax| = \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1, \|y\|_{q'} = 1} y^\top Ax.$$

Deduce the transpose identity

$$\|A^\top\|_{q' \rightarrow p'} = \|A\|_{p \rightarrow q}.$$

In particular, conclude that for the $2 \rightarrow 2$ operator norm (spectral norm),

$$\|A^\top\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} = \|A\|_{2 \rightarrow 2}.$$

[Bonus] Solution:

First of all, for a given x , we know that,

$$\sup_{\|y\|_{q'} = 1} y^\top Ax = \sup_{\|y\|_{q'} = 1} |y^\top Ax|$$

because if $y^\top Ax < 0$, we can flip $y \rightarrow -y$ without changing $\|y\|_{q'}$. Now, using the dual norm identity of l_q on $u = Ax$:

$$\|Ax\|_q = \sup_{\|y\|_{q'} = 1} y^\top Ax.$$

Taking supremum over $\|x\|_p = 1$ gives,

$$\|A\|_{p \rightarrow q} = \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_q = \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \sup_{\|y\|_{q'} = 1} y^\top Ax.$$

Since the feasible sets are products of the compact sets, we can write it as a joint supremum, i.e.,

$$\|A\|_{p \rightarrow q} = \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1, \|y\|_{q'} = 1} y^\top Ax.$$

Hence, combining with the result for the absolute value, we get,

$$\|A\|_{p \rightarrow q} = \sup_{\|x\|_p=1, \|y\|_{q'}=1} |y^\top Ax| = \sup_{\|x\|_p=1, \|y\|_{q'}=1} y^\top Ax.$$

Here $y^\top Ax$ is a scalar, so rewrite as $y^\top Ax = x^\top A^\top y$. So, the bilinear form becomes:

$$\|A\|_{p \rightarrow q} = \sup_{\|x\|_p=1, \|y\|_{q'}=1} x^\top A^\top y.$$

Using the dual norm identity of $l_{p'}$ on $u = A^\top y$:

$$\|A^\top y\|_{p'} = \sup_{\|x\|_p=1} x^\top A^\top y,$$

and taking the supremum over $\|y\|_{q'} = 1$ gives

$$\|A^\top\|_{q' \rightarrow p'} = \sup_{\|y\|_{q'}=1} \|A^\top y\|_{p'} = \sup_{\|y\|_{q'}=1} \sup_{\|x\|_p=1} x^\top A^\top y = \sup_{\|y\|_{q'}=1, \|x\|_p=1} x^\top A^\top y,$$

where we write the joint supremum as the sets are compact. Hence,

$$\|A^\top\|_{q' \rightarrow p'} = \|A\|_{p \rightarrow q}.$$

For $p = q = 2, p' = q' = 2$, so for the $2 \rightarrow 2$ operator norm (spectral norm):

$$\|A^\top\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} = \|A\|_{2 \rightarrow 2},$$

i.e., the singular values of a matrix A is invariant of the transpose. \square

(b) **Spectral versus Frobenius norms.** In this part, write $\|A\|$ for the spectral norm $\|A\|_{2 \rightarrow 2}$. Recall the Frobenius norm $\|A\|_F = \left(\sum_{i,j} A_{ij}^2\right)^{1/2}$.

(b1) (Rank-one and diagonal matrices) Let $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Show that

$$\|uv^\top\| = \|uv^\top\|_F = \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2.$$

Let $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a diagonal matrix with entries (d_1, \dots, d_n) . Write $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Show that

$$\|D\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |d_i| = \|d\|_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|D\|_F = \|d\|_2.$$

Solution:

For the matrix uv^\top , $(uv^\top)_{ij} = u_i v_j$. So,

$$\|uv^\top\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (u_i v_j)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m u_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n v_j^2\right) = \|u\|_2^2 \|v\|_2^2 \implies \|uv^\top\|_F = \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2.$$

Now, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $v^\top x$ is a scalar, so

$$\|uv^\top x\|_2 = |v^\top x| \|u\|_2 \leq \|v\|_2 \|x\|_2 \|u\|_2,$$

where the inequality comes from using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

This gives, for any x ,

$$\frac{\|uv^\top x\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \leq \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2 \implies \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|uv^\top x\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \leq \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2 \implies \|uv^\top\| \leq \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2.$$

For $x = v$,

$$\frac{\|uv^\top x\|_2}{\|x\|_2} = \frac{\|uv^\top v\|_2}{\|v\|_2} = \frac{\|u\|_2 \|v\|_2^2}{\|v\|_2} = \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2,$$

i.e., the supremum is attained at $x = v$ and so $\|uv^\top\| = \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2$. Hence, we have

$$\|uv^\top\| = \|uv^\top\|_F = \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2.$$

In matrix D , only the diagonal entries are non-zero, so

$$\|D\|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} D_{ij}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 = \|d\|_2^2 \implies \|D\|_F = \|d\|_2. \quad \square$$

We know, for a diagonal matrix D , D^2 is also diagonal and its largest eigenvalue is $\max_i d_i^2$. So, using the definition of spectral norm:

$$\|D\| = \sigma_1(D) = \sqrt{\max_i d_i^2} = \max_i |d_i| \implies \|D\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |d_i| = \|d\|_\infty. \quad \square$$

(b2) (General relationship) If $\text{rank}(A) = r$, show that

$$\|A\| \leq \|A\|_F \leq \sqrt{r} \|A\|.$$

Show that both inequalities can be tight (achieved) for any admissible (m, n, r) .

Solution:

Since A has $\text{rank}(A) = r$, let $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r > 0$ are the nonzero singular values of A . Then, by the definition of Frobenius and Spectral norms:

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_1^2 \leq r \sigma_1^2 \implies \|A\|_F \leq \sqrt{r} \sigma_1 = \sqrt{r} \|A\|.$$

And, we know $\sigma_1^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i^2$, which gives $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_F$. Hence, combining both:

$$\|A\| \leq \|A\|_F \leq \sqrt{r} \|A\|.$$

i. Tightness of $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_F$:

If $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ i.e., $\sigma_1 > 0$ and $\sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = \dots = \sigma_r = 0$, then $\sum_i \sigma_i^2 = \sigma_1^2 \implies \|A\| = \|A\|_F$. For example, let $A = uv^\top$ for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with $m, n \geq 1$. Here, $\text{rank}(A) = 1$, and as we proved in (b1):

$$\|uv^\top\| = \|uv^\top\|_F \text{ i.e., } \|A\| = \|A\|_F.$$

ii. Tightness of $\|A\|_F \leq \sqrt{r} \|A\|$:

For any admissible (m, n, r) i.e., $r \leq \min\{m, n\}$, take:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} sI_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

where $s > 0$ is any value of choice. Here, $\text{rank}(A) = r$ and $\sum_i \sigma_i^2 = rs^2$. So, $\|A\| = s$ and $\|A\|_F = \sqrt{r}s$. Hence, if all nonzero singular values of A are equal then $\|A\|_F = \sqrt{r} \|A\|$.

(b3) (Frobenius submultiplicativity) Show that for conformable matrices A, B ,

$$\|AB\|_F \leq \|A\|_F \|B\|_F \quad \text{and} \quad \|AB\|_F \leq \|A\|_F \|B\|_F.$$

Conclude (by combining an inequality from (b2)) that $\|\cdot\|_F$ is submultiplicative, i.e.,

$$\|AB\|_F \leq \|A\|_F \|B\|_F.$$

Solution:

Using the definition of the Frobenius norm:

$$\|AB\|_F = \text{tr}((AB)^T AB) = \text{tr}(B^T A^T AB).$$

We know, for any matrix A and any vector x , using the Spectral norm:

$$\|Ax\|_2^2 \leq \|A\|^2 \|x\|_2^2 \implies x^T A^T Ax \leq \|A\|^2 x^T x = x^T (\|A\|^2 I)x.$$

So, we get the positive semi-definite ordering:

$$A^T A \leq \|A\|^2 I.$$

Using this ordering and monotonicity of the trace:

$$\|AB\|_F = \text{tr}(B^T A^T AB) \leq \text{tr}(B^T \|A\| I B) = \|A\| \text{tr}(B^T B) = \|A\| \|B\|_F.$$

We know, the Frobenius norm is invariant of the transpose, so $\|AB\|_F = \|(AB)^T\|_F = \|B^T A^T\|_F$. Using the result just proved,

$$\|AB\|_F = \|B^T A^T\|_F \leq \|B^T\| \|A^T\|_F.$$

But again, $\|A^T\|_F = \|A\|_F$ and $\|B^T\| = \|B\|$ using the result proved in (a3). So, we have

$$\|AB\|_F \leq \|B^T\| \|A^T\|_F = \|A\|_F \|B\|.$$

Hence, combining both we get,

$$\|AB\|_F \leq \|A\|_F \|B\|_F \quad \text{and} \quad \|AB\|_F \leq \|A\|_F \|B\|.$$

We proved in (b2), that for any matrix A , $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_F$. This gives:

$$\|AB\|_F \leq \|A\|_F \|B\|_F.$$

(b4) [Bonus] (Orthogonal invariance) Let $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be orthogonal. Show that

$$\|UAV\| = \|A\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|UAV\|_F = \|A\|_F.$$

[Bonus] Solution:

Here, $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are orthogonal, so

$$U^T U = I_m \quad \text{and} \quad V^T V = V^T V = I_n.$$

Using the definition of the Spectral norm:

$$\|UAV\| = \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} \|UAVx\|_2.$$

For any orthogonal matrix U , $(Ux)^\top(Ux) = x^\top U^\top Ux = x^\top x = \|x\|_2$, i.e., orthogonal matrices preserve l_2 (Euclidean) norm. So, $\|UAVx\|_2 = \|AVx\|_2$. This gives:

$$\|UAV\| = \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} \|AVx\|_2.$$

Now, let $y = Vx$. Again, as orthogonal matrices preserve the Euclidean norm, $\|y\|_2 = \|x\|_2 = 1$. So,

$$\|UAV\| = \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} \|AVx\|_2 = \sup_{\|y\|_2=1} \|Ay\|_2 = \|A\|. \quad \square$$

Next, using the definition of the Frobenius norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|UAV\|_F &= \text{tr}((UAV)^\top UAV) \\ &= \text{tr}(V^\top A^\top U^\top UAV) \\ &= \text{tr}(V^\top A^\top AV) && \text{[because } U^\top U = I_m\text{]} \\ &= \text{tr}(A^\top AVV^\top) && \text{[cyclic property of trace]} \\ &= \text{tr}(A^\top A) && \text{[because } VV^\top = I_n\text{]} \\ &= \|A\|_F. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for orthogonal matrices $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$,

$$\|UAV\| = \|A\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|UAV\|_F = \|A\|_F.$$

3 Variance and covariance identities

Unless specified otherwise, assume all random variables/vectors below have finite second moments. Throughout, for $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we write $\langle u, v \rangle := u^\top v$ and $\|u\|_2^2 = \langle u, u \rangle$.

(a) **Variance identities.** Let $Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a random vector with mean $m := \mathbb{E}[Z] \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (defined coordinatewise). The quantity $\mathbb{E}\|Z - \mathbb{E}Z\|_2^2$ is sometimes called the “total variance”.

(a1) (Variance via Pythagorean decomposition) Assume $\mathbb{E}\|Z\|_2^2 < \infty$ and let $m = \mathbb{E}Z$. Show that

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\|Z\|_2^2 - \|m\|_2^2.$$

More generally, show that for every $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z - a\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 + \|a - m\|_2^2,$$

and conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 = \min_{a \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}\|Z - a\|_2^2, \quad \text{with unique minimizer } a^* = \mathbb{E}[Z].$$

Solution:

For any $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\|Z - a\|_2^2 = \|Z - m + m - a\|_2^2 = \|Z - m\|_2^2 + 2\langle Z - m, m - a \rangle + \|m - a\|_2^2.$$

Taking expectations:

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z - a\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\langle Z - m, m - a \rangle + \mathbb{E}\|m - a\|_2^2.$$

Here, $m - a$ is deterministic and $\mathbb{E}[Z - m] = 0$, so

$$\mathbb{E}\langle Z - m, m - a \rangle = \langle \mathbb{E}[Z - m], m - a \rangle = \langle 0, m - a \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, for every $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z - a\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 + \|a - m\|_2^2.$$

If we let $a = m$, then $\|a - m\|_2^2 = 0$. And,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 &= \mathbb{E}\|Z\|_2^2 - 2\langle \mathbb{E}Z, m \rangle + \mathbb{E}\|m\|_2^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\|Z\|_2^2 - 2\langle m, m \rangle + \|m\|_2^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\|Z\|_2^2 - \|m\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $m = \mathbb{E}Z$,

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\|Z\|_2^2 - \|m\|_2^2.$$

Here, $\mathbb{E}\|Z - a\|_2^2 \geq \mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2$ and the equality holds iff $\mathbb{E}\|m - a\|_2^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow m = a$.

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 = \min_{a \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}\|Z - a\|_2^2, \quad \text{with unique minimizer } a^* = \mathbb{E}[Z].$$

- (a2) (Variance via symmetrization) Let Z' be an independent copy of Z (i.e., $Z' \perp Z$ and $Z' \stackrel{d}{=} Z$). Show that

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z - \mathbb{E}Z\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|Z - Z'\|_2^2.$$

Solution:

Here, Z' is an independent copy of Z , so $\mathbb{E}Z = \mathbb{E}Z'$ and $\mathbb{E}\|Z - \mathbb{E}Z\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\|Z' - \mathbb{E}Z'\|_2^2$.

$$\|Z - Z'\|_2^2 = \|Z - \mathbb{E}Z + \mathbb{E}Z - Z'\|_2^2 = \|Z - \mathbb{E}Z\|_2^2 + 2\langle Z - \mathbb{E}Z, \mathbb{E}Z - Z' \rangle + \|\mathbb{E}Z - Z'\|_2^2.$$

Now, using the linearity of the inner product,

$$\langle Z - \mathbb{E}Z, \mathbb{E}Z - Z' \rangle = \langle Z, \mathbb{E}Z - Z' \rangle - \langle \mathbb{E}Z, \mathbb{E}Z - Z' \rangle = \langle Z, \mathbb{E}Z \rangle - \langle Z, Z' \rangle - \langle \mathbb{E}Z, \mathbb{E}Z - Z' \rangle.$$

Taking expectation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\langle Z - \mathbb{E}Z, \mathbb{E}Z - Z' \rangle &= \langle \mathbb{E}Z, \mathbb{E}Z \rangle - \langle \mathbb{E}Z, \mathbb{E}Z' \rangle - \langle \mathbb{E}Z, \mathbb{E}Z - \mathbb{E}Z' \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbb{E}Z, \mathbb{E}Z \rangle - \langle \mathbb{E}Z, \mathbb{E}Z \rangle - \langle \mathbb{E}Z, 0 \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z - Z'\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\|Z - \mathbb{E}Z\|_2^2 + \mathbb{E}\|Z' - \mathbb{E}Z'\|_2^2 = 2\mathbb{E}\|Z - \mathbb{E}Z\|_2^2.$$

Rearranging, we get

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z - \mathbb{E}Z\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|Z - Z'\|_2^2.$$

- (a3) [Bonus] (Variance for independent sums) Let $Z_1, \dots, Z_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be independent random vectors with $\mathbb{E}[Z_j] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}\|Z_j\|_2^2 < \infty$. Show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^k Z_j\right\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\|Z_j\|_2^2.$$

[Bonus] Solution:

Here, using the linearity of the inner product,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^k Z_j\right\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^k Z_j, \sum_{j=1}^k Z_j \right\rangle = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{l=1}^k \langle Z_j, Z_l \rangle\right].$$

Using linearity of expectations,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{l=1}^k \langle Z_j, Z_l \rangle\right] = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{l=1}^k \mathbb{E}\langle Z_j, Z_l \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\|Z_j\|_2^2 + \sum_{j \neq l} \mathbb{E}\langle Z_j, Z_l \rangle.$$

Since all the Z_i are independent, for $j \neq l$,

$$\mathbb{E}\langle Z_j, Z_l \rangle = \langle \mathbb{E}Z_j, \mathbb{E}Z_l \rangle = \langle 0, 0 \rangle = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^k Z_j\right\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\|Z_j\|_2^2.$$

(b) **Covariance identities.** For a random vector $Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $m = \mathbb{E}[Z]$, define the covariance matrix

$$\text{Cov}(Z) := \mathbb{E}[(Z - m)(Z - m)^\top] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}.$$

Show that:

(b1) $\text{Cov}(Z) = \mathbb{E}[ZZ^\top] - mm^\top$.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(Z) &= \mathbb{E}[(Z - m)(Z - m)^\top] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[ZZ^\top - Zm^\top - mZ^\top - mm^\top] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[ZZ^\top] - \mathbb{E}[Zm^\top] - \mathbb{E}[mZ^\top] - mm^\top \\ &= \mathbb{E}[ZZ^\top] - \mathbb{E}[Z]m^\top - m\mathbb{E}[Z^\top] - mm^\top \\ &= \mathbb{E}[ZZ^\top] - mm^\top. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

(b2) For every $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\text{Var}(v^\top Z) = v^\top \text{Cov}(Z)v$.

Solution:

Here, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $v^\top Z \in \mathbb{R}$ (scalar) and $\mathbb{E}[v^\top Z] = v^\top \mathbb{E}Z = v^\top m$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(v^\top Z) &= \mathbb{E}[(v^\top Z - v^\top m)^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(v^\top (Z - m))^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[v^\top (Z - m)(Z - m)^\top v] \\ &= v^\top \mathbb{E}[(Z - m)(Z - m)^\top] v \\ &= v^\top \text{Cov}(Z)v. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

(b3) $\text{tr}(\text{Cov}(Z)) = \mathbb{E}\|Z - \mathbb{E}Z\|_2^2$.

Solution:

Using the linearity of the trace and expectation:

$$\text{tr}(\text{Cov}(Z)) = \text{tr}(\mathbb{E}[(Z - m)(Z - m)^\top]) = \mathbb{E}[\text{tr}((Z - m)(Z - m)^\top)].$$

We know, $\text{tr}(uu^\top) = \|u\|_2^2$. So,

$$\text{tr}((Z - m)(Z - m)^\top) = \|Z - m\|_2^2 = \|Z - \mathbb{E}Z\|_2^2.$$

Hence,

$$\text{tr}(\text{Cov}(Z)) = \mathbb{E}\|Z - \mathbb{E}Z\|_2^2. \quad \square$$

4 Moving between moments and tails

Throughout, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. Assume all random variables below are real-valued and measurable, and that any expectations that appear are finite.

(a) **From tails to moments.** Let $X \geq 0$ be a nonnegative random variable.

(a1) (Moment identities using tail integrals) Show that

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{X > t\} dt.$$

More generally, show that for any $p > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[X^p] = \int_0^\infty pt^{p-1} \mathbb{P}\{X > t\} dt.$$

Solution:

Here, X is a nonnegative random variable, so

$$\mathbb{E}[X^p] = \int_0^\infty x^p f_X(x) dx = \mathbb{E}[X^p] = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty pt^{p-1} \mathbf{1}\{x > t\} dt \right) f_X(x) dx,$$

using the p th-moment identity. Now, $g: X \times t \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by $g(x, t) = pt^{p-1} \mathbf{1}\{x > t\} f_X(x)$ is a nonnegative measurable function, so using Tonelli's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X^p] &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty pt^{p-1} \mathbf{1}\{x > t\} f_X(x) d(x, t) \\ &= \int_0^\infty pt^{p-1} \left(\int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}\{x > t\} f_X(x) dx \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty pt^{p-1} \left(\int_t^\infty f_X(x) dx \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty pt^{p-1} \mathbb{P}\{X > t\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[X^p] = \int_0^\infty pt^{p-1} \mathbb{P}\{X > t\} dt.$$

And, for $p = 1$, the expression becomes

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{X > t\} dt.$$

(a2) [Bonus] (Moment growth bounds from exponential tail behavior) Assume there exist constants $c, C > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{X > t\} \leq C \exp(-ct^\alpha).$$

Use part (a1) to show that $X \in L_p$ for all $p < \infty$ and that there exists a constant $C' = C'(c, C, \alpha)$ such that

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \leq C' p^{1/\alpha} \quad \text{for all } p \geq 1.$$

Finally, interpret the cases $\alpha = 2$ and $\alpha = 1$ in terms of the heuristic moment growth for sub-Gaussian and sub-exponential random variables.

[Bonus] Solution:

Using the moment identity proved in part (a1), for $p \geq 1$:

$$\mathbb{E}[X^p] = \int_0^\infty p t^{p-1} \mathbb{P}\{X > t\} dt.$$

Plugging in the given tail bound:

$$\mathbb{E}[X^p] \leq Cp \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} e^{-ct^\alpha} dt.$$

Let $u = ct^\alpha \implies t = (u/c)^{1/\alpha}$ and $dt = (1/\alpha)c^{-1/\alpha}u^{1/\alpha-1} du$. Then,

$$\int_0^\infty t^{p-1} e^{-ct^\alpha} = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{u}{c}\right)^{(p-1)/\alpha} e^{-u} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} c^{-1/\alpha} u^{1/\alpha-1} du = \frac{c^{-p/\alpha}}{\alpha} \int_0^\infty u^{p/\alpha-1} e^{-u} du,$$

where the last integral is a Gamma function and integrates to $\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}\right)$. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[X^p] \leq \frac{Cp}{\alpha} c^{-p/\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}\right) < \infty, \quad \forall p < \infty \implies X \in L_p, \quad \forall p < \infty.$$

Now, taking the p -th roots:

$$\|X\|_{L_p} = (\mathbb{E}[X^p])^{1/p} \leq \left(\frac{C}{\alpha}\right)^{1/p} p^{1/p} c^{-1/\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}\right)^{1/p}.$$

i. If $p \geq \alpha$, then $p/\alpha \geq 1$. So, let $z = p/\alpha$ and using the given bound for $\Gamma(z+1)$:

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{\alpha} + 1\right)}{p/\alpha} \leq \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{\alpha} + 1\right) \leq \left(C_0 \frac{p}{\alpha}\right)^{p/\alpha} \implies \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}\right)^{1/p} \leq \left(C_0 \frac{p}{\alpha}\right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

Plugging it back, we get,

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \leq \left(\frac{C}{\alpha}\right)^{1/p} p^{1/p} c^{-1/\alpha} \left(C_0 \frac{p}{\alpha}\right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

We know, $\arg \max_x x^{1/x} = e$, so $p^{1/p} \leq e^{1/e} < e$ and $(C/\alpha)^{1/p} \leq \max\{1, C/\alpha\}$ for $p \geq 1$. So,

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \leq e \left(\frac{C_0}{c\alpha}\right)^{1/\alpha} \max\left\{1, \frac{C}{\alpha}\right\} p^{1/\alpha} \implies \|X\|_{L_p} \leq C' p^{1/\alpha},$$

for $C' = C'(c, C, \alpha) = e C_0^{1/\alpha} (c\alpha)^{-1/\alpha} \max\{1, C/\alpha\}$.

ii. If $p \leq \alpha$, then using the monotonicity proved in Problem 1 (b1):

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \leq \|X\|_{L_\alpha} \leq \left[C\alpha \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-ct^\alpha} dt \right]^{1/\alpha},$$

using the given tail bound. Let $u = ct^\alpha \implies t = (u/c)^{1/\alpha}$ and $dt = (1/\alpha)c^{-1/\alpha}u^{1/\alpha-1} du$. Then,

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-ct^\alpha} = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{u}{c}\right)^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha} e^{-u} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} c^{-1/\alpha} u^{1/\alpha-1} du = \frac{1}{c\alpha} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} du = \frac{1}{c\alpha}.$$

So,

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \leq \left(\frac{C\alpha}{c\alpha}\right)^{1/\alpha} = \left(\frac{C}{c}\right)^{1/\alpha} \leq \left(\frac{C}{c}\right)^{1/\alpha} p^{1/\alpha},$$

because for $p \geq 1$, $p^{1/\alpha} \geq 1$. Thus, $\|X\|_{L_p} \leq C' p^{1/\alpha}$ with $C' = C'(c, C, \alpha) = (C/c)^{1/\alpha}$.

Hence, combining both cases,

$$\exists C' = C'(c, C, \alpha) \quad \text{such that} \quad \|X\|_{L_p} \leq C' p^{1/\alpha} \quad \text{for all } p \geq 1.$$

Now,

i. For $\alpha = 2$, $\mathbb{P}\{X > t\} \leq C e^{-ct^2}$ i.e., Gaussian-type tail. Then,

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \leq C' \sqrt{p},$$

i.e., moments grow like \sqrt{p} .

ii. For $\alpha = 1$, $\mathbb{P}\{X > t\} \leq C e^{-ct}$ i.e., Exponential tail. Then,

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \leq C' p,$$

i.e., moments grow linearly in p .

(b) **Classical tail bounds from second moments.** Let X and Y be real-valued random variables.

(b1) (Upper bound) Fix $t > 0$. Show the one-sided *Cantelli's inequality*

$$\mathbb{P}\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\} \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{\text{Var}(Y) + t^2}.$$

Solution:

Here, let $\text{Var}(Y) = \sigma^2$. For all $a > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\} &= \mathbb{P}\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y + a \geq t + a\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\{(Y - \mathbb{E}Y + a)^2 \geq (t + a)^2\} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mathbb{E}Y + a)^2]}{(t + a)^2} \quad [\text{Using Markov's inequality}] \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Var}(Y) + a^2}{(t + a)^2} = \frac{\sigma^2 + a^2}{(t + a)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we will optimize the upper bound to find a tighter bound. We will find the value of a that minimizes $f(a) = \frac{\sigma^2 + a^2}{(t + a)^2}$. We have both $a, t > 0$, so

$$f'(a) = 2a(t + a)^{-2} - 2(\sigma^2 + a^2)(t + a)^{-3} = \frac{2(at - \sigma^2)}{(t + a)^3}$$

equals to 0 only when $at - \sigma^2 = 0$, which gives

$$\arg \{f(a) = 0\} = a^* = \frac{\sigma^2}{t}.$$

Now, the second derivative is

$$f''(a) = \frac{2(t^2 - 2at + 3\sigma^2)}{(t+a)^4}.$$

$$f''(a^*) = \frac{2(t^2 + \sigma^2)}{(t + \sigma^2/t)^4} > 0 \text{ so } a^* \text{ is the global minimum of } f(a), \text{ and } f(a^*) = \frac{\sigma^2 + \sigma^4/t^2}{(t + \sigma^2/t)^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + t^2}.$$

So, the inequality becomes:

$$\mathbb{P}\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\} \leq \inf_a f(a) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + t^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\} \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{\text{Var}(Y) + t^2}.$$

(b2) (Comparison with Chebyshev's inequality) Use Chebyshev's inequality to give a "naive" one-sided bound on $\mathbb{P}\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\}$ and compare it to Cantelli's inequality from (b1). Now apply Cantelli to Y and to $-Y$ and deduce a two-sided tail bound

$$\mathbb{P}\{|Y - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t\} \leq \frac{2 \text{Var}(Y)}{\text{Var}(Y) + t^2}.$$

Compare this to the standard two-sided Chebyshev inequality.

Solution:

Chebyshev's inequality gives

$$\mathbb{P}\{|Y - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t\} \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{t^2}.$$

Since $\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\} \subseteq \{|Y - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t\}$, $\mathbb{P}\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{|Y - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t\}$. This gives a "naive" one-sided bound:

$$\mathbb{P}\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\} \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{t^2}.$$

Because $\sigma^2 \geq 0$, $\sigma^2 + t^2 \geq t^2$, and $\sigma^2/(\sigma^2 + t^2) \leq \sigma^2/t^2$. Hence, Cantelli's inequality is always at least as strong as the naive one-sided Chebyshev bound. Let's apply Cantelli's inequality in (b1) to $-Y$. $\mathbb{E}[-Y] = -\mathbb{E}Y$ and $\text{Var}(-Y) = \sigma^2$. Then, using (b1),

$$\mathbb{P}\{-Y + \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\} \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + t^2} \implies \mathbb{P}\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \leq -t\} \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + t^2}.$$

Now, using the union bound,

$$\mathbb{P}\{|Y - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\} + \mathbb{P}\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \leq -t\} = \frac{2\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + t^2}.$$

Hence, the two-sided Cantelli bound is:

$$\mathbb{P}\{|Y - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t\} \leq \frac{2 \text{Var}(Y)}{\text{Var}(Y) + t^2}.$$

For $t^2 \leq \sigma^2$, $\frac{2\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + t^2} \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{t^2}$, so the two-sided Cantelli bound is stronger than the standard two-sided Chebyshev bound for small t . However, for large t , i.e., $t^2 \geq \sigma^2$, $\frac{\sigma^2}{t^2} \leq \frac{2\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + t^2}$. So, the standard two-sided Chebyshev bound is stronger than the two-sided Cantelli bound for large t . And for much larger t , $t^2 \gg \sigma^2$, $\frac{2\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + t^2} \approx \frac{2\sigma^2}{t^2}$. So, the Chebyshev bound is almost half of the two-sided Cantelli bound.

- (b3) [Bonus] (Lower bound) Let $X \geq 0$ with $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[X] > 0$. Fix $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Prove the *Paley-Zygmund inequality*

$$\mathbb{P}\{X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X\} \geq (1 - \theta)^2 \frac{(\mathbb{E}X)^2}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]} = (1 - \theta)^2 \frac{(\mathbb{E}X)^2}{\text{Var}(X) + (\mathbb{E}X)^2} = \frac{(1 - \theta)^2}{1 + \text{Var}(X)/(\mathbb{E}X)^2}.$$

[Bonus] Solution:

We can write the expectation of X as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}X &= \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}\{X < \theta \mathbb{E}X\}] + \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}\{X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X\}] \\ &\leq \theta \mathbb{E}X + \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}\{X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X\}]. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $(1 - \theta)\mathbb{E}X \leq \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}\{X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X\}] \implies (1 - \theta)^2(\mathbb{E}X)^2 \leq (\mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}\{X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X\}])^2$. We know, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$(\mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}\{X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X\}])^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[X^2] \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}\{X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X\}] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] \mathbb{P}\{X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X\}.$$

Putting it together, we get,

$$(1 - \theta)^2(\mathbb{E}X)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[X^2] \mathbb{P}\{X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X\}.$$

Finally, rearranging, we get the Paley-Zygmund inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}\{X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X\} \geq (1 - \theta)^2 \frac{(\mathbb{E}X)^2}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}.$$

We know, $\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - (\mathbb{E}X)^2$, so the inequality can be written as:

$$\mathbb{P}\{X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X\} \geq \frac{(1 - \theta)^2}{1 + \text{Var}(X)/(\mathbb{E}X)^2}.$$

And, if $\text{Var}(X) \leq c(\mathbb{E}X)^2$ for some $c > 0$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\{X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X\} \geq \frac{(1 - \theta)^2}{1 + c}.$$

5 Mean versus median

- (a) **Mean–median closeness (using variance).** Let Z be a real-valued random variable with $\mathbb{E}[Z^2] < \infty$, and let M_Z be a median of Z , meaning

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \geq M_Z) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(Z \leq M_Z) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Show that

$$|M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z| \leq \sqrt{\text{Var}(Z)}.$$

Solution:

If $M_Z > \mathbb{E}Z$, then $\mathbb{P}\{Z \geq M_Z\} = \mathbb{P}\{Z - \mathbb{E}Z \geq M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z\} \geq 1/2$. So, using one-sided Cantelli's inequality,

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \mathbb{P}\{Z - \mathbb{E}Z \geq M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z\} \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Z)}{\text{Var}(Z) + (M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z)^2}.$$

Taking the extreme bounds,

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Z)}{\text{Var}(Z) + (M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z)^2} \implies (M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z)^2 \leq \text{Var}(Z) \implies (M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z) \leq \sqrt{\text{Var}(Z)}.$$

Similarly, if $M_Z < \mathbb{E}Z$, then $\mathbb{P}\{Z \leq M_Z\} = \mathbb{P}\{-Z \geq -M_Z\} = \mathbb{P}\{\mathbb{E}Z - Z \geq \mathbb{E}Z - M_Z\} \geq 1/2$. Using one-sided Cantelli's inequality,

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \mathbb{P}\{\mathbb{E}Z - Z \geq \mathbb{E}Z - M_Z\} \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Z)}{\text{Var}(Z) + (\mathbb{E}Z - M_Z)^2}.$$

Taking the extreme bounds,

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Z)}{\text{Var}(Z) + (\mathbb{E}Z - M_Z)^2} \implies (\mathbb{E}Z - M_Z)^2 \leq \text{Var}(Z) \implies (\mathbb{E}Z - M_Z) \leq \sqrt{\text{Var}(Z)}.$$

If $M_Z = \mathbb{E}Z$, then the inequality is trivially true because variance is non-negative. Hence,

$$|M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z| \leq \sqrt{\text{Var}(Z)}.$$

- (b) [Bonus] **Mean–median closeness (using variance proxy).** Let X be a real-valued random variable with median M_X . Assume there exist constants $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - M_X| > t) \leq ae^{-t^2/b}.$$

Show that

$$|M_X - \mathbb{E}X| \leq \mathbb{E}|X - M_X| \leq \min\{\sqrt{ab}, a\sqrt{b\pi/4}\}.$$

[Bonus] Solution:

Absolute value is a convex function, so using Jensen's inequality:

$$|\mathbb{E}[M_X - X]| \leq \mathbb{E}|M_X - X| \implies |M_X - \mathbb{E}X| \leq \mathbb{E}|X - M_X|.$$

Now,

$$\mathbb{E}|X - M_X| = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{|X - M_X| \geq t\} dt \leq \int_0^\infty ae^{-t^2/b} dt = \frac{a\sqrt{\pi b}}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{e^{-t^2/b}}{\sqrt{\pi b}} dt,$$

where the final integrand is the kernel of a Normal(0, b/2) density, which integrates to 1. So,

$$\mathbb{E}|X - M_X| \leq a\sqrt{b\pi/4}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(X - M_X)^2] &= \int_0^\infty 2t\mathbb{P}\{|X - M_X| \geq t\} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty 2tae^{-t^2/b} dt \\ &= ab \int_0^\infty be^{-u} du \quad [\text{By substituting } u = t^2/b] \\ &= ab. \end{aligned}$$

Using Jensen's inequality,

$$(\mathbb{E}|X - M_X|)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[(X - M_X)^2] \implies \mathbb{E}|X - M_X| \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(X - M_X)^2]} = \sqrt{ab}.$$

Hence,

$$|M_X - \mathbb{E}X| \leq \mathbb{E}|X - M_X| \leq \min\{\sqrt{ab}, a\sqrt{b\pi/4}\}.$$

*** END OF SOLUTIONS ***