

Theoretical Stats and Machine Learning (Homework 1)

Student Name: Vu Huyen Trang Pham

UT EID: vp22673

Question 1.

a. ℓ_p norms on \mathbb{R}^n .

a1. **Comparison and monotonicity.** Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$.

Prove $\|x\|_q \leq \|x\|_p$ for $q < \infty$.

If $x = 0$ the claim is trivial. Otherwise, we define $a_i := \frac{|x_i|}{\|x\|_p}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Therefore:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p}{\|x\|_p^p} = 1$$

which implies that $a_i^p \leq 1$ and thus $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$. Because $q \geq p$ and $t \mapsto t^\alpha$ is decreasing in α on $t \in [0, 1]$, we have $a_i^q \leq a_i^p$ for all i . We then obtain:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^q \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p = 1$$

Therefore, $\|x\|_q^q = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^q = \sum_{i=1}^n (\|x\|_p a_i)^q = \|x\|_p^q \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^q \leq \|x\|_p^q$, which concludes that

$$\boxed{\|x\|_q \leq \|x\|_p}.$$

Prove $\|x\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \|x\|_q$ for $q < \infty$ (Hölder).

By homogeneity, it suffices to assume $\|x\|_q = 1$ ($\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^q = 1$). We write:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \cdot 1.$$

Apply Hölder's inequality with conjugate exponents $r = \frac{q}{p} \geq 1$, $s = \frac{q}{q-p}$ so that $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, we obtain:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (|x_i|^p)^r \right)^{1/r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n 1^s \right)^{1/s} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^{pr} \right)^{1/r} n^{1/s}$$

Because $pr = q$ and $\sum |x_i|^q = 1$, the above result implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p &\leq n^{1/s} \\ \Rightarrow \|x\|_p &\leq n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \quad (\text{take } p\text{th roots and use } \frac{1}{s} = 1 - \frac{p}{q}) \end{aligned}$$

Undoing the normalization (multiplying by $\|x\|_q$), we have: $\boxed{\|x\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|x\|_q}$.

The case $q = \infty$.

We have $|x_i| \leq \max_i |x_i| = \|x\|_\infty$, which implies:

$$\|x\|_p^p = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x\|_\infty^p = n \|x\|_\infty^p$$

so $\boxed{\|x\|_p \leq n^{1/p} \|x\|_\infty = n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\infty}} \|x\|_\infty}$.

Deduction. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. From the already proved comparison $\|x\|_q \leq \|x\|_p$, we have that the function $p \mapsto \|x\|_p$ is (weakly) decreasing in p .

Moreover, the unit balls are nested. Indeed, if $x \in B_{\ell_p}^n$, then $\|x\|_p \leq 1$, and therefore $\|x\|_q \leq \|x\|_p \leq 1$, which implies $x \in B_{\ell_q}^n$ ($\boxed{B_{\ell_p}^n \subseteq B_{\ell_q}^n \text{ whenever } p \leq q}$).

a2. When are the bounds tight?

Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$.

Left inequality. The bound $\|x\|_q \leq \|x\|_p$ is tight for one-sparse vectors (vectors with only one nonzero coordinate). For example, let $\boxed{x = (3, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n}$. Therefore:

$$\|x\|_p = (|3|^p)^{1/p} = 3 \quad \|x\|_q = (|3|^q)^{1/q} = 3$$

which shows that $\|x\|_p = \|x\|_q$.

Right inequality. The bound $\|y\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|y\|_q$ is tight for flat vectors (vectors with coordinates all have equal magnitude). For example, let $\boxed{y = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n}$. Therefore:

$$\|y\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n 1^p \right)^{1/p} = n^{1/p} \quad \|y\|_q = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n 1^q \right)^{1/q} = n^{1/q}$$

which shows that $\|y\|_p = n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|y\|_q$.

a3. [Bonus] The ℓ_∞ limit.

Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and define $\|x\|_\infty := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$.

Quantitative bounds. Let $M = \|x\|_\infty$. By definition, there exists j such that $|x_j| = M$. Therefore:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \geq |x_j|^p = M^p$$

which implies $\|x\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{1/p} \geq M = \|x\|_\infty$.

On the other hand, because $|x_i| \leq M$ for all i , we have $\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \leq \sum_{i=1}^n M^p = nM^p$. Therefore:

$$\|x\|_p \leq (nM^p)^{1/p} = n^{1/p} M = n^{1/p} \|x\|_\infty$$

From the above results, we can conclude: $\boxed{\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_p \leq n^{1/p} \|x\|_\infty}$.

Convergence as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Because $n^{1/p} = e^{(\log n)/p} \rightarrow 1$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, $n^{1/p} \|x\|_\infty \rightarrow \|x\|_\infty$. Apply the squeeze theorem for the quantitative bound derived above, we obtain:

$$\boxed{\|x\|_p \rightarrow \|x\|_\infty \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow \infty}$$

Additionally, if $p \geq \log n$, then $n^{1/p} = e^{(\log n)/p} \leq e$. Therefore $\boxed{\|x\|_p \leq n^{1/p} \|x\|_\infty \leq e \|x\|_\infty}$.

b. ℓ_p norms of random variables.

b1. Monotonicity and inclusions.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space and let X be a real-valued random variable.

Case I: $\leq p \leq q < \infty$. We consider the function $\varphi(t) = t^{q/p} (t \geq 0)$. Because $q/p \geq 1$, the function φ is convex on $[0, \infty)$. Apply Jensen's inequality, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{q/p} &\leq \mathbb{E}(|X|^{p \cdot q/p}) = \mathbb{E}|X|^q \\ \iff (\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{1/p} &\leq (\mathbb{E}|X|^q)^{1/q} \\ \iff \boxed{\|X\|_{L_p} &\leq \|X\|_{L_q}} \end{aligned}$$

Case II: $q = \infty$. If $\|X\|_{L_\infty} < \infty$, then by the definition of the essential supremum, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |X| &\leq \|X\|_{L_\infty} \quad \text{a.s.} \\ \Rightarrow |X|^p &\leq \|X\|_{L_\infty}^p \quad \text{a.s.} \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}|X|^p &\leq \|X\|_{L_\infty}^p \\ \iff \boxed{\|X\|_{L_p} \leq \|X\|_{L_\infty}} \end{aligned}$$

Set inclusion. If $X \in L_q$, then $\|X\|_{L_q} < \infty$. By the inequality proved above, we obtain $\|X\|_{L_p} \leq \|X\|_{L_q} < \infty$, which implies $X \in L_p$. As a result, $\boxed{L_q \subseteq L_p \text{ for } q \geq p}$.

b2. No dimension-free equivalence.

Let $\alpha \in (p, q]$ and consider a random variable with Pareto-type tail:

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| > t) \sim t^{-\alpha} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

We know that:

$$\mathbb{E}|X|^r < \infty \iff r < \alpha \tag{1}$$

Indeed, recall the tail-integral representation of moments: for any $r > 0$, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}|X|^r = r \int_0^\infty t^{r-1} \mathbb{P}(|X| > t) dt$$

We now consider the existence of $\mathbb{E}|X|^r$. For simplicity, it is equivalent to consider the existence of $\int_1^\infty t^{r-1} \mathbb{P}(|X| > t) dt$ because because the contribution from small t is always finite and cannot affect whether the moment diverges.

By the assumption $\mathbb{P}(|X| > t) \sim t^{-\alpha}$, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $t_0 > 1$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$,

$$c_1 t^{-\alpha} \leq \mathbb{P}(|X| > t) \leq c_2 t^{-\alpha}$$

Consequently, for t sufficiently large, we have:

$$c_1 t^{r-\alpha-1} \leq t^{r-1} \mathbb{P}(|X| > t) \leq c_2 t^{r-\alpha-1}$$

Therefore, $\int_1^\infty t^{r-\alpha-1} dt < \infty \iff \int_1^\infty t^{r-1} \mathbb{P}(|X| > t) dt < \infty$. Moreover:

$$\int_1^\infty t^{r-\alpha-1} dt < \infty \iff r - \alpha - 1 < -1 \iff r < \alpha$$

This implies that $\int_1^\infty t^{r-1} \mathbb{P}(|X| > t) dt < \infty \iff r < \alpha$, so **1** is proved.

Apply **1**, we have $\mathbb{E}|X|^p < \infty$ but $\mathbb{E}|X|^q = \infty$. Therefore, $X \in L_p$ but $X \notin L_q$, which proves that no universal constant C can satisfy $\|X\|_{L_q} \leq C\|X\|_{L_p}$ for all X .

Example. Let $p = 2$ and $q = 4$. Define a random variable X with density:

$$f(x) = 3x^{-4}, \quad x \geq 1$$

Therefore, X has a Pareto-type tail with parameter $\alpha = 3$, because for $t \geq 1$, $\mathbb{P}(|X| > t) = \mathbb{P}(X > t) = \int_t^\infty 3x^{-4} dx = t^{-3}$.

We have:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[X^2] = \int_1^\infty x^2 \cdot 3x^{-4} dx = 3 \int_1^\infty x^{-2} dx < \infty, \\ \mathbb{E}[X^4] = \int_1^\infty x^4 \cdot 3x^{-4} dx = 3 \int_1^\infty 1 dx = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $X \in L_2$ but $X \notin L_4$.

b3. [Bonus] The L_∞ limit.

Assume that $\|X\|_{L_\infty} < \infty$.

Upper bound. By the definition of the essential supremum, we have:

$$|X| \leq \|X\|_{L_\infty} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

which implies that:

$$\mathbb{E}|X|^p \leq \|X\|_{L_\infty}^p, \quad \text{and therefore} \quad \|X\|_{L_p} \leq \|X\|_{L_\infty}.$$

Lower bound. By the definition of the essential supremum, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have:

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| > \|X\|_{L_\infty} - \varepsilon) > 0.$$

Let $A_\varepsilon := \{|X| > \|X\|_{L_\infty} - \varepsilon\}$. On this event, $|X|^p \geq (\|X\|_{L_\infty} - \varepsilon)^p$.

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|X|^p &= \mathbb{E}(|X|^p \mathbf{1}_{A_\varepsilon}) + \mathbb{E}(|X|^p \mathbf{1}_{A_\varepsilon^c}) \geq \mathbb{E}(|X|^p \mathbf{1}_{A_\varepsilon}) \geq (\|X\|_{L_\infty} - \varepsilon)^p \mathbb{P}(A_\varepsilon) \\ \iff \|X\|_{L_p} &\geq (\|X\|_{L_\infty} - \varepsilon) \mathbb{P}(A_\varepsilon)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

Because $\mathbb{P}(A_\varepsilon)^{1/p} \rightarrow 1$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|X\|_{L_p} \geq \|X\|_{L_\infty} - \varepsilon$.

As $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this implies $\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|X\|_{L_p} \geq \|X\|_{L_\infty}$.

Combine the upper and lower bounds, we can conclude that:

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \longrightarrow \|X\|_{L_\infty} \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow \infty$$

Question 2. Practice with matrix norms.

a. The $p \rightarrow q$ operator norm.

a1. Equivalent definitions.

(i) From $\|x\|_p \leq 1$ to $\|x\|_p = 1$. Because $\{x : \|x\|_p = 1\} \subseteq \{x : \|x\|_p \leq 1\}$, we have:

$$\sup_{\|x\|_p=1} \|Ax\|_q \leq \sup_{\|x\|_p \leq 1} \|Ax\|_q \tag{2}$$

For the reverse inequality, we fix any x with $0 < \|x\|_p \leq 1$ and define:

$$u := \frac{x}{\|x\|_p}, \quad \text{so that} \quad \|u\|_p = 1.$$

By homogeneity of norms, we have:

$$\|Au\|_q = \left\| A \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_p} \right) \right\|_q = \frac{1}{\|x\|_p} \|Ax\|_q \geq \|Ax\|_q \quad (\text{because } \|x\|_p \leq 1).$$

Therefore, every value $\|Ax\|_q$ attainable with $\|x\|_p \leq 1$ is bounded above by some value $\|Au\|_q$ with $\|u\|_p = 1$. Therefore:

$$\sup_{\|x\|_p \leq 1} \|Ax\|_q \leq \sup_{\|u\|_p = 1} \|Au\|_q \quad (3)$$

From 2 and 3, we obtain:

$$\boxed{\sup_{\|x\|_p \leq 1} \|Ax\|_q = \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_q}$$

(ii) From $\|x\|_p = 1$ to the ratio form. First, if $\|x\|_p = 1$, then we have:

$$\|Ax\|_q = \frac{\|Ax\|_q}{\|x\|_p} \leq \sup_{z \neq 0} \frac{\|Az\|_q}{\|z\|_p} \quad (\text{because because the supremum is an upper bound for all values})$$

Take the supremum over $\|x\|_p = 1$, we obtain:

$$\sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_q \leq \sup_{z \neq 0} \frac{\|Az\|_q}{\|z\|_p} \quad (4)$$

Conversely, for any $z \neq 0$ let $u = z/\|z\|_p$ so that $\|u\|_p = 1$. Then

$$\frac{\|Az\|_q}{\|z\|_p} = \left\| A \left(\frac{z}{\|z\|_p} \right) \right\|_q = \|Au\|_q \leq \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_q$$

Take the supremum over $z \neq 0$, we obtain the reverse inequality:

$$\sup_{z \neq 0} \frac{\|Az\|_q}{\|z\|_p} \leq \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_q \quad (5)$$

From 4 and 5, we have:

$$\boxed{\sup_{z \neq 0} \frac{\|Az\|_q}{\|z\|_p} = \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_q}$$

Combine (i) and (ii), we obtain the claimed equivalences.

a2. Submultiplicativity. We have the definition of the induced operator norm:

$$\|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} = \sup_{\|u\|_q \leq 1} \|Au\|_r$$

By homogeneity, this implies that for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|Au\|_r \leq \|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} \|u\|_q \tag{6}$$

We justify (6) as follows. If $u = 0$, the inequality holds trivially. If $u \neq 0$, we define:

$$v := \frac{u}{\|u\|_q}$$

so that $\|v\|_q = 1$. By the definition of the induced operator norm, we have:

$$\|Av\|_r \leq \sup_{\|w\|_q \leq 1} \|Aw\|_r = \|A\|_{q \rightarrow r}.$$

Using the homogeneity of norms, we obtain

$$\|Au\|_r = \|A(\|u\|_q v)\|_r = \|u\|_q \|Av\|_r \leq \|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} \|u\|_q,$$

which proves (6).

Now x satisfy $\|x\|_p \leq 1$. Apply (6) with $u = Bx$, we obtain:

$$\|ABx\|_r \leq \|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} \|Bx\|_q$$

Using again the definition of the operator norm for B , we obtain:

$$\|Bx\|_q \leq \|B\|_{p \rightarrow q} \|x\|_p$$

Combine the two inequalities, we have:

$$\|ABx\|_r \leq \|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} \|B\|_{p \rightarrow q} \|x\|_p$$

Because $\|x\|_p \leq 1$, the above result implies that:

$$\|ABx\|_r \leq \|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} \|B\|_{p \rightarrow q}$$

Finally, take the supremum over all x with $\|x\|_p \leq 1$, we conclude that:

$$\|AB\|_{p \rightarrow r} = \sup_{\|x\|_p \leq 1} \|ABx\|_r \leq \|A\|_{q \rightarrow r} \|B\|_{p \rightarrow q}$$

a3. [Bonus] Duality form and transpose relationship.

Bilinear representation. We have the dual norm identity for ℓ_q :

$$\|u\|_q = \sup_{\|y\|_{q'}=1} y^\top u, \quad \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$$

for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Applying this identity to $u = Ax$, we obtain:

$$\|Ax\|_q = \sup_{\|y\|_{q'}=1} y^\top Ax$$

Therefore,

$$\|A\|_{p \rightarrow q} = \sup_{\|x\|_p=1} \sup_{\|y\|_{q'}=1} y^\top Ax = \sup_{\|x\|_p=1, \|y\|_{q'}=1} |y^\top Ax|$$

The absolute value can be dropped because replacing y by $-y$ does not change the constraint.

Transpose identity. Using the scalar identity, we have:

$$y^\top Ax = x^\top A^\top y$$

We then obtain:

$$\|A\|_{p \rightarrow q} = \sup_{\|x\|_p=1, \|y\|_{q'}=1} x^\top A^\top y.$$

Fixing y and applying the dual norm identity for ℓ_p , we have:

$$\sup_{\|x\|_p=1} x^\top A^\top y = \|A^\top y\|_{p'}$$

Therefore:

$$\|A\|_{p \rightarrow q} = \sup_{\|y\|_{q'}=1} \|A^\top y\|_{p'} = \|A^\top\|_{q' \rightarrow p'}$$

In particular, when $p = q = 2$ (so that $p' = q' = 2$), we conclude that:

$$\|A^\top\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} = \|A\|_{2 \rightarrow 2}$$

b. Spectral versus Frobenius norms.

b1. Rank-one and diagonal matrices.

Rank-one matrix. Let $A = uv^\top$ with $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_2 = 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= u(v^\top x) \\ \Rightarrow \|Ax\|_2 &= |v^\top x| \|u\|_2 \quad (\text{because } v^\top x \text{ is a scalar}) \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz, we have $|v^\top x| \leq \|v\|_2 \|x\|_2 = \|v\|_2$ (because $\|x\|_2 = 1$). Therefore:

$$\|Ax\|_2 \leq \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2 \tag{7}$$

Because 7 is true for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_2 = 1$, we take the supremum over $\|x\|_2 = 1$ to obtain:

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Ax\|_2 \leq \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2 \tag{8}$$

Consider the equality of 7, there exists $x = v/\|v\|_2$ (if $v \neq 0$) (for which $|v^\top x| = \|v\|_2$) that leads to $\|Ax\|_2 = \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2$ (the case $v = 0$ is trivial). Moreover, $\|A\| = \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Ax\|_2$ is greater than or

equal every value of $\|Ax\|_2$, which implies that:

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Ax\|_2 \geq \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2 \quad (9)$$

From 8 and 9, we can conclude that:

$$\|A\| = \|uv^\top\| = \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2 \quad (10)$$

For the Frobenius norm, we have:

$$\|uv^\top\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (u_i v_j)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m u_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n v_j^2 \right) = \|u\|_2^2 \|v\|_2^2$$

Therefore:

$$\|uv^\top\|_F = \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2 \quad (11)$$

Combine 10 and 11, we can conclude: $\boxed{\|uv^\top\| = \|uv^\top\|_F = \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2}$.

Diagonal matrix. Let $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ and $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n)^\top$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_2 = 1$,

$$\|Dx\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 x_i^2 \leq \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_i^2 \right) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |d_i| \right)^2 \quad (12)$$

so $\|Dx\|_2 \leq \max_i |d_i|$ and therefore $\|D\| = \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Dx\|_2 \leq \max_i |d_i|$ (because 12 is true for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_2 = 1$). Equality holds by taking $x = e_{i^*}$ where $i^* \in \arg \max_i |d_i|$, which implies $\|De_{i^*}\|_2 = |d_{i^*}|$. Therefore:

$$\boxed{\|D\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |d_i| = \|d\|_\infty}$$

Finally, because D has nonzero entries only on the diagonal, we have:

$$\|D\|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} D_{ij}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 = \|d\|_2^2$$

so $\boxed{\|D\|_F = \|d\|_2}$.

b2. General relationship between spectral and Frobenius norms.

Let $\sigma_1(A) \geq \sigma_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r(A) > 0$ be the nonzero singular values of A , with $r = \text{rank}(A)$.

We have known that the spectral norm and the Frobenius norm are written as follows:

$$\|A\| = \|A\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} = \sigma_1(A) \quad \|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i(A)^2$$

Therefore:

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i^2 \geq \sigma_1^2 = \|A\|^2 \quad \implies \quad \boxed{\|A\| \leq \|A\|_F}$$

In addition, because $\sigma_i \leq \sigma_1$ for all $i \leq r$, we have:

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_1^2 = r\sigma_1^2 = r\|A\|^2$$

which implies that: $\boxed{\|A\|_F \leq \sqrt{r} \|A\|}$.

Tightness of both inequalities. We now show that each inequality can be achieved for any admissible (m, n, r) .

(i) *Tightness of the lower bound* $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_F$. If A has rank one, then it has exactly one nonzero singular value $\sigma_1 > 0$ and therefore we have:

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sigma_1^2 = \|A\|^2 \quad \iff \quad \|A\|_F = \|A\|$$

For example, take $A = uv^\top$ with nonzero $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$; then $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ and by part (b1)

$$\|A\| = \|A\|_F = \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2.$$

(ii) *Tightness of the upper bound* $\|A\|_F \leq \sqrt{r} \|A\|$. If we choose A so that its r nonzero singular values are all equal ($\sigma_1 = \dots = \sigma_r = s > 0$). Then we obtain the equality:

$$\|A\| = s \quad \|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^r s^2} = \sqrt{r} s = \sqrt{r} \|A\|$$

For example, let:

$$A = s \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

where I_r is the $r \times r$ identity in the top-left corner. This matrix has rank r and exactly r singular values equal to s , therefore it achieves equality in the upper bound.

b3. Frobenius submultiplicativity.

By property of the Frobenius norm, we have $\|AB\|_F^2 = \text{tr}((AB)^\top(AB)) = \text{tr}(B^\top A^\top AB)$.

Moreover, by the definition of the spectral norm, we have $\|A\| = \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Ax\|_2$, which implies $\|Ax\|_2 \leq \|A\| \|x\|_2$ for all x (proved in 6).

As a result, for all x , we obtain:

$$x^\top A^\top Ax = \|Ax\|_2^2 \leq \|A\|^2 \|x\|_2^2 = x^\top (\|A\|^2 I)x$$

Therefore, $A^\top A \preceq \|A\|^2 I$.

Multiplying on both sides by $B^\top(\cdot)B$ and using monotonicity of the trace for positive semidefinite matrices, we obtain:

$$\text{tr}(B^\top A^\top AB) \leq \|A\|^2 \text{tr}(B^\top B).$$

which implies that $\|AB\|_F^2 \leq \|A\|^2 \|B\|_F^2$. Take square roots of both side, we conclude that:

$$\boxed{\|AB\|_F \leq \|A\| \|B\|_F}$$

Apply the above result to $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$ and using the invariance of both norms under transposition, we have:

$$\boxed{\|AB\|_F = \|(AB)^T\|_F = \|B^T A^T\|_F \leq \|B^T\| \|A^T\|_F = \|A\|_F \|B\|_F}$$

Finally, by part (b2) we know that $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_F$ and $\|B\| \leq \|B\|_F$. Combining these inequalities with the previous bounds, we have:

$$\boxed{\|AB\|_F \leq \|A\| \|B\|_F \leq \|A\|_F \|B\|_F}$$

Therefore, the Frobenius norm is submultiplicative:

$$\boxed{\|AB\|_F \leq \|A\|_F \|B\|_F}$$

b4. [Bonus] Orthogonal invariance.

Spectral norm. Using the definition $\|M\| = \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Mx\|_2$ and the fact that $\|Uz\|_2 = \|z\|_2$ for all z (because U is an orthogonal matrix), we have:

$$\|UAV\| = \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} \|UAVx\|_2 = \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} \|AVx\|_2$$

Let $y = Vx$. Since V is orthogonal, $\|y\|_2 = \|x\|_2 = 1$, and as x ranges over the unit sphere, so does y . Hence

$$\|UAV\| = \sup_{\|y\|_2=1} \|Ay\|_2 = \|A\|$$

Frobenius norm. We have $\|M\|_F^2 = \text{tr}(M^\top M)$. Apply cyclic property of trace:

$$\|UAV\|_F^2 = \text{tr}((UAV)^\top (UAV)) = \text{tr}(V^\top A^\top U^\top UAV) = \text{tr}(V^\top A^\top AV) = \text{tr}(A^\top AVV^\top) = \text{tr}(A^\top A) = \|A\|_F^2$$

Therefore $\|UAV\|_F = \|A\|_F$.

In conclusion:

$$\boxed{\|UAV\| = \|A\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|UAV\|_F = \|A\|_F}$$

Question 3. Practice with variance and covariance identities

a1. Variance via Pythagorean decomposition.

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z - m\|_2^2 &= \langle Z - m, Z - m \rangle = \|Z\|_2^2 - 2\langle Z, m \rangle + \|m\|_2^2 \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 &= \mathbb{E}\|Z\|_2^2 - 2\mathbb{E}\langle Z, m \rangle + \|m\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Because m is deterministic, we can write $\mathbb{E}\langle Z, m \rangle$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}\langle Z, m \rangle = \langle \mathbb{E}[Z], m \rangle = \langle m, m \rangle = \|m\|_2^2.$$

Therefore, we can conclude: $\boxed{\mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\|Z\|_2^2 - \|m\|_2^2}$.

Proof for general case. For any $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we can write $Z - a = (Z - m) + (m - a)$.

Therefore, we can expand $\mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z - a\|_2^2 &= \|Z - m\|_2^2 + \|m - a\|_2^2 + 2\langle Z - m, m - a \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}\|Z - a\|_2^2 &= \mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 + \|m - a\|_2^2 + 2\langle \mathbb{E}[Z - m], m - a \rangle \\ &= \boxed{\mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 + \|a - m\|_2^2} \quad (\text{cross term vanishes because } \mathbb{E}[Z - m] = 0) \end{aligned}$$

We know that $\|a - m\|_2^2 \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $a = m$. Therefore, $\mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 = \min_{a \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}\|Z - a\|_2^2$ and the minimizer is unique $a^* = \mathbb{E}[Z]$.

a2. Variance via symmetrization.

We rewrite $Z - Z'$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z - Z' &= (Z - m) - (Z' - m) \\ \Rightarrow \|Z - Z'\|_2^2 &= \|Z - m\|_2^2 + \|Z' - m\|_2^2 - 2\langle Z - m, Z' - m \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}\|Z - Z'\|_2^2 &= \mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 + \mathbb{E}\|Z' - m\|_2^2 - 2\mathbb{E}\langle Z - m, Z' - m \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Because $Z' \stackrel{d}{=} Z$, we have $\mathbb{E}\|Z' - m\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2$. Therefore, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z - Z'\|_2^2 = 2\mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 - 2\mathbb{E}\langle Z - m, Z' - m \rangle$$

Expand $\langle Z - m, Z' - m \rangle$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Z - m, Z' - m \rangle &= \langle Z, Z' \rangle - \langle Z, m \rangle - \langle m, Z' \rangle + \langle m, m \rangle \\ &= \langle Z, Z' \rangle - \langle Z, m \rangle - \langle Z', m \rangle + \|m\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

We now take the expectation of $\langle Z - m, Z' - m \rangle$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\langle Z - m, Z' - m \rangle &= \mathbb{E}\langle Z, Z' \rangle - \mathbb{E}\langle Z, m \rangle - \mathbb{E}\langle Z', m \rangle + \|m\|_2^2 \\
&= \langle \mathbb{E}Z, \mathbb{E}Z' \rangle - \langle \mathbb{E}Z, m \rangle - \langle \mathbb{E}Z', m \rangle + \|m\|_2^2 \quad (m \text{ is deterministic, } Z \text{ and } Z' \text{ independent}) \\
&= \langle m, m \rangle - \langle m, m \rangle - \langle m, m \rangle + \|m\|_2^2 \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z - Z'\|_2^2 = 2\mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2,$$

which implies that:

$$\boxed{\mathbb{E}\|Z - \mathbb{E}Z\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\|Z - m\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|Z - Z'\|_2^2.}$$

a3. [Bonus] Variance for independent sums.

We expand $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^k Z_j \right\|_2^2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{j=1}^k Z_j \right\|_2^2 &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^k Z_j, \sum_{\ell=1}^k Z_\ell \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\ell=1}^k \langle Z_j, Z_\ell \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^k \|Z_j\|_2^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < \ell \leq k} \langle Z_j, Z_\ell \rangle \\
\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k Z_j \right\|_2^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\|Z_j\|_2^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < \ell \leq k} \mathbb{E}\langle Z_j, Z_\ell \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

For $j \neq \ell$, we write $Z_j = (Z_{j1}, \dots, Z_{jd})^\top$ and $Z_\ell = (Z_{\ell1}, \dots, Z_{\ell d})^\top$. We then have:

$$\langle Z_j, Z_\ell \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d Z_{ji} Z_{\ell i}$$

Because Z_j and Z_ℓ are independent, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_{ji} Z_{\ell i}] = \mathbb{E}[Z_{ji}] \mathbb{E}[Z_{\ell i}].$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{E}[Z_j] = 0$ implies $\mathbb{E}[Z_{ji}] = 0$ for all i , and similarly $\mathbb{E}[Z_{\ell i}] = 0$. Therefore:

$$\mathbb{E}\langle Z_j, Z_\ell \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \neq \ell.$$

Because all cross terms vanish, we can conclude that:

$$\boxed{\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^k Z_j\right\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\|Z_j\|_2^2}$$

b. Covariance identities.

b1. Expand $(Z - m)(Z - m)^\top$, we have:

$$(Z - m)(Z - m)^\top = ZZ^\top - Zm^\top - mZ^\top + mm^\top$$

Take the expectations, we obtain:

$$\text{Cov}(Z) = \mathbb{E}[ZZ^\top] - \mathbb{E}[Z]m^\top - m\mathbb{E}[Z]^\top + mm^\top = \mathbb{E}[ZZ^\top] - mm^\top$$

b2. For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we apply the definition of variance to obtain:

$$\text{Var}(v^\top Z) = \mathbb{E}\left[(v^\top Z - \mathbb{E}[v^\top Z])^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[(v^\top(Z - m))^2\right].$$

Because $v^\top(Z - m)$ is a scalar, we have:

$$(v^\top(Z - m))^2 = v^\top(Z - m)(Z - m)^\top v.$$

Therefore $\text{Var}(v^\top Z) = v^\top \mathbb{E}[(Z - m)(Z - m)^\top] v = v^\top \text{Cov}(Z) v$.

b3. By linearity of trace and expectation, we have:

$$\text{tr}(\text{Cov}(Z)) = \text{tr}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[(Z - m)(Z - m)^\top\right]\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\text{tr}\left((Z - m)(Z - m)^\top\right)\right]$$

Using the identity $\text{tr}(uu^\top) = \|u\|_2^2$, we conclude that:

$$\text{tr}(\text{Cov}(Z)) = \mathbb{E}[\|Z - m\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}[\|Z - \mathbb{E}Z\|_2^2]$$

Question 4. Practice with moving between moments and tails

a. From tails to moments

a1. Tail integral identities. We first prove the pointwise identity: for every real number $x \geq 0$, we have:

$$x = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}\{x > t\} dt, \tag{13}$$

because $\mathbf{1}\{x > t\} = 1$ for $t \in [0, x)$ and 0 for $t \geq x$. Therefore, the integral equals $\int_0^x 1 dt = x$.

Applying (13) with $x = X(\omega)$, we have

$$X = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}\{X > t\} dt \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Taking expectations and using Tonelli's theorem (because the integrand is nonnegative), we can interchange expectation and integration as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}\{X > t\} dt\right] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}\{X > t\}] dt = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(X > t) dt$$

This shows that $\boxed{\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(X > t) dt}$.

More generally, for any $p > 0$ and any $x \geq 0$, we can show that:

$$x^p = \int_0^\infty pt^{p-1} \mathbf{1}\{x > t\} dt$$

because the right-hand side equals $\int_0^x pt^{p-1} dt = [t^p]_0^x = x^p$. Substituting $x = X(\omega)$, taking expectations, and applying Tonelli again, we obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}[X^p] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^\infty pt^{p-1} \mathbf{1}\{X > t\} dt\right] = \int_0^\infty pt^{p-1} \mathbb{P}(X > t) dt$$

a2. [Bonus] Moment growth from exponential tails. Assume that there exist constants $c, C > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X > t) \leq C e^{-ct^\alpha}.$$

Using the identity from a1, for any $p > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X^p] &= \int_0^\infty p t^{p-1} \mathbb{P}(X > t) dt \leq \int_0^\infty p t^{p-1} C e^{-ct^\alpha} dt \\ &= C p \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} e^{-ct^\alpha} dt \end{aligned}$$

Let $u = ct^\alpha$, we have $t = (u/c)^{1/\alpha}$ and $dt = \frac{1}{\alpha} c^{-1/\alpha} u^{1/\alpha-1} du$. The integral then become:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} e^{-ct^\alpha} dt &= \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{u}{c}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{\alpha}} e^{-u} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} c^{-1/\alpha} u^{1/\alpha-1}\right) du \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} c^{-p/\alpha} \int_0^\infty u^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1} e^{-u} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} c^{-p/\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}[X^p] \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} p c^{-p/\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}\right). \quad (14)$$

From the above result, we can see that $\mathbb{E}[X^p] < \infty$ for all $p < \infty$, which means that $X \in L_p$ for all $p < \infty$.

To obtain a simple growth bound, we use the given estimate: there exists an absolute constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $z \geq 1$:

$$\Gamma(z+1) \leq (C_0 z)^z$$

For $p \geq 1$, set $z = p/\alpha$ (so that $z \geq 1$). Using the identity $\Gamma(z) = \Gamma(z+1)/z$, we obtain

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{\alpha} + 1\right)}{p/\alpha} \leq \frac{(C_0 p/\alpha)^{p/\alpha}}{p/\alpha} = \alpha (C_0/\alpha)^{p/\alpha} p^{p/\alpha-1}$$

Because $p^{p/\alpha-1} \leq p^{p/\alpha}$ for all $p \geq 1$, we have: $\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}\right) \leq \alpha (C_0/\alpha)^{p/\alpha} p^{p/\alpha}$.

Substitute this bound into (14), we obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}[X^p] \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} p c^{-p/\alpha} \alpha (C_0/\alpha)^{p/\alpha} p^{p/\alpha} = C p^{1+p/\alpha} \left(\frac{C_0}{\alpha c}\right)^{p/\alpha}$$

Therefore: $\|X\|_{L_p} = (\mathbb{E}[X^p])^{1/p} \leq C^{1/p} p^{1/p} p^{1/\alpha} \left(\frac{C_0}{\alpha c}\right)^{1/\alpha}$.

For all $p \geq 1$, we have:

$$\begin{cases} C^{1/p} \leq \max\{1, C\} & (\text{because } p \geq 1) \\ p^{1/p} \leq e^{1/e} < 2 & (\text{obtained by taking logarithms and differentiating to find the maximum}) \end{cases}$$

so both factors are bounded by absolute constants independent of p . Therefore, there exists a constant $C' := \max\{1, C\} e^{1/e} \left(\frac{C_0}{\alpha c}\right)^{1/\alpha}$ depending only on (c, C, α) such that:

$$\|X\|_{L_p} \leq C' p^{1/\alpha}, \quad \forall p \geq 1$$

Interpretation. When $\alpha = 2$, the tail bound is of the form e^{-ct^2} (sub-Gaussian behavior) and the result gives $\|X\|_{L_p} \lesssim \sqrt{p}$. When $\alpha = 1$, the tail bound is of the form e^{-ct} (sub-exponential behavior) and the result gives $\|X\|_{L_p} \lesssim p$.

b. Classical tail bounds from second moments

b1. Cantelli's inequality (one-sided). Fix $t > 0$. For any $a > 0$, note that on the event $\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\}$ we have $Y - \mathbb{E}Y + a \geq t + a$. Therefore:

$$\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\} \subseteq \{(Y - \mathbb{E}Y + a)^2 \geq (t + a)^2\}$$

Apply Markov's inequality to the nonnegative random variable $(Y - \mu + a)^2$, we obtain:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t) \leq \mathbb{P}((Y - \mathbb{E}Y + a)^2 \geq (t + a)^2) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mathbb{E}Y + a)^2]}{(t + a)^2} \quad (15)$$

Expanding $\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mathbb{E}Y + a)^2]$, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mathbb{E}Y + a)^2] = \mathbb{E}[(Y - \mathbb{E}Y)^2] + 2a \mathbb{E}[Y - \mathbb{E}Y] + a^2 = \text{Var } Y + a^2$$

Substituting this result into (15), we have:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y - \mu \geq t) \leq \frac{\sigma^2 + a^2}{(t + a)^2}, \quad \forall a > 0.$$

In order to obtain a tighter upper bound for $\mathbb{P}(Y - \mu \geq t)$, we minimize $f(a) := (\text{Var } Y + a^2)/(t + a)^2$ over a . We compute the derivative of $f(a)$ as follows:

$$f'(a) = \frac{2(at - \text{Var } Y)}{(t + a)^3}$$

so the minimum is attained at $a^* = \frac{\text{Var } Y}{t}$. Plugging a^* back, we have:

$$\boxed{\mathbb{P}(Y - \mu \geq t) \leq \frac{\text{Var } Y + (\frac{\text{Var } Y}{t})^2}{(t + \frac{\text{Var } Y}{t})^2} = \frac{\text{Var } Y}{\text{Var } Y + t^2}}$$

b2. Comparison with Chebyshev and a two-sided bound. A naive one-sided bound from Chebyshev is obtained via the inclusion $\{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\} \subseteq \{|Y - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t\}$:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t) \leq \mathbb{P}(|Y - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t) \leq \frac{\text{Var } Y}{t^2}$$

Because $\frac{\text{Var } Y}{\text{Var } Y + t^2} \leq \frac{\text{Var } Y}{t^2}$ ($t > 0$), we can see that Cantelli is always at least as strong as the naive Chebyshev bound.

For the two-sided bound, we can start as follows:

$$\{|Y - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t\} = \{Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t\} \cup \{\mathbb{E}Y - Y \geq t\}$$

By the union bound, we have:

$$\mathbb{P}(|Y - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t) \leq \mathbb{P}(Y - \mathbb{E}Y \geq t) + \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{E}Y - Y \geq t)$$

Apply Cantelli to Y , we can bound the first term by $\text{Var } Y/(\text{Var } Y + t^2)$. Apply Cantelli to $-Y$ (we

already have $\mathbb{E}[-Y] = -\mathbb{E}Y$ and $\text{Var}(-Y) = \text{Var} Y$ to get

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{E}Y - Y \geq t) = \mathbb{P}(-Y - \mathbb{E}[-Y] \geq t) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(-Y)}{\text{Var}(-Y) + t^2} = \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{\text{Var}(Y) + t^2}$$

Therefore, we conclude that:

$$\boxed{\mathbb{P}(|Y - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t) \leq \frac{2 \text{Var}(Y)}{\text{Var}(Y) + t^2}}$$

Compare the two-sided Cantelli bound with the standard two-sided Chebyshev inequality $\mathbb{P}(|Y - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq t) \leq \frac{\text{Var} Y}{t^2}$, we can conclude that the Chebyshev bound is tighter for large t .

b3. [Bonus] Paley–Zygmund inequality. We define the event $E := \{X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X\}$. By decomposing this event, we can rewrite the expectation $\mathbb{E}X$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_{E^c}] + \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_E]$$

For the complementary event $E^c = \{X < \theta \mathbb{E}X\}$ we can infer that $X \leq \theta \mathbb{E}X$. Therefore:

$$\mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_{E^c}] \leq \theta \mathbb{E}X, \quad \text{so} \quad \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_E] \geq (1 - \theta) \mathbb{E}X \tag{16}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz, we obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_E] \leq (\mathbb{E}[X^2])^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_E^2])^{1/2} = (\mathbb{E}[X^2])^{1/2} \mathbb{P}(E)^{1/2} \tag{17}$$

From 16 and 17, we have:

$$(1 - \theta) \mathbb{E}X \leq \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_E] \leq (\mathbb{E}[X^2])^{1/2} \mathbb{P}(E)^{1/2}$$

which implies that:

$$(1 - \theta)^2 (\mathbb{E}X)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[X^2] \mathbb{P}(E)$$

Finally, we can conclude that:

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X) \geq (1 - \theta)^2 \frac{(\mathbb{E}X)^2}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}$$

Using $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \text{Var}(X) + (\mathbb{E}X)^2$, the above result can be rewritten as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X) \geq (1 - \theta)^2 \frac{(\mathbb{E}X)^2}{\text{Var}(X) + (\mathbb{E}X)^2} = \frac{(1 - \theta)^2}{1 + \text{Var}(X)/(\mathbb{E}X)^2}$$

In particular, if $\text{Var}(X) \leq c(\mathbb{E}X)^2$ for some $c > 0$, then

$$\boxed{\mathbb{P}(X \geq \theta \mathbb{E}X) \geq \frac{(1 - \theta)^2}{1 + c}}$$

Question 5. Mean versus median.

a. Mean-median closeness (using variance). For this problem, we consider two cases.

Case (I): $M_Z \geq \mathbb{E}Z$. From the definition of median, we have:

$$\mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z \geq M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z) = \mathbb{P}(Z \geq M_Z) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

On the other hand, apply one-sided Cantelli inequality, we have:

$$\mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z \geq M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z)}{\text{Var}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z) + (M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z)^2} = \frac{\text{Var}(Z)}{\text{Var}(Z) + (M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z)^2}$$

Combining the upper bound and the lower bound of $\mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z \geq M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z)$, we get:

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Z)}{\text{Var}(Z) + (M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z)^2}$$

This implies that $(M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z)^2 \leq \text{Var}(Z)$. Because $M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z \geq 0$, we obtain:

$$M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z \leq \sqrt{\text{Var}(Z)}$$

Case (II): $M_Z \leq \mathbb{E}Z$. Apply the definition of median, we have:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{E}Z - Z \geq \mathbb{E}Z - M_Z) = \mathbb{P}(-Z \geq -M_Z) = \mathbb{P}(Z \leq M_Z) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

Moreover, apply the one-sided Cantelli inequality, we get:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{E}Z - Z \geq \mathbb{E}Z - M_Z) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}Z - Z)}{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}Z - Z) + (\mathbb{E}Z - M_Z)^2} = \frac{\text{Var}(Z)}{\text{Var}(Z) + (\mathbb{E}Z - M_Z)^2}$$

This implies that $\frac{\text{Var}(Z)}{\text{Var}(Z) + (\mathbb{E}Z - M_Z)^2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, which means $(\mathbb{E}Z - M_Z)^2 \leq \text{Var}(Z)$. Because $\mathbb{E}Z - M_Z \geq 0$, we have:

$$M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z \leq \sqrt{\text{Var}(Z)}.$$

From Case (I) and Case (II), we have:

$$\boxed{|M_Z - \mathbb{E}Z| \leq \sqrt{\text{Var}(Z)}}$$

b. [Bonus] Mean–median closeness using a variance proxy.

Step 1: Prove that $|M_X - \mathbb{E}X| \leq \mathbb{E}|X - M_X|$ (use Jensen inequality).

Because the function $x \mapsto |x|$ is convex, Jensen's inequality gives:

$$|M_X - \mathbb{E}X| = |\mathbb{E}(X - M_X)| \leq \mathbb{E}|X - M_X|$$

Step 2: Prove that $\mathbb{E}|X - M_X| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{ab}, a\sqrt{\frac{\pi b}{2}} \right\}$ (use tail integral identity).

Let $Y := |X - M_X| \geq 0$. Apply tail integral identity, we can write $\mathbb{E}Y$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}Y = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(Y > t) dt$$

Using the assumed tail bound and let $u = \frac{t}{\sqrt{b}}, v = \sqrt{2}u$ (therefore $dt = \sqrt{b}du, du = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}dv$), we have:

$$\mathbb{E}|X - M_X| \leq \int_0^\infty a e^{-t^2/b} dt = a\sqrt{b} \int_0^\infty e^{-u^2} du = \frac{a\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-v^2/2} dv$$

$$= \frac{a\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-v^2/2} dv = \frac{a\sqrt{b}}{2\sqrt{2}} (\sqrt{2\pi}) \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-v^2/2} dv \right)}_{=1} = a\sqrt{\frac{\pi b}{2}} \quad (18)$$

Using again the tail representation for the second moment, we obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}Y^2 = \int_0^{\infty} 2t \mathbb{P}(Y > t) dt \leq \int_0^{\infty} 2t a e^{-t^2/b} dt$$

We have:

$$\int_0^{\infty} 2t a e^{-t^2/b} dt = -ab \int_0^{\infty} \frac{-2t}{b} e^{-t^2/b} dt = -ab \left(e^{-t^2/b} \Big|_0^{\infty} \right) = ab$$

Therefore $\mathbb{E}Y^2 \leq ab$.

By Cauchy-Schwarz, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}|X - M_X| = \mathbb{E}Y = \mathbb{E}[Y \cdot 1] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]} \cdot \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[1^2]} = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]} \leq \sqrt{ab} \quad (19)$$

From 18 and 19, we can conclude that:

$$\mathbb{E}|X - M_X| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{ab}, a\sqrt{\frac{\pi b}{2}} \right\}$$

From the result of step 1 and step 2, we have:

$$\boxed{|M_X - \mathbb{E}X| \leq \mathbb{E}|X - M_X| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{ab}, a\sqrt{\frac{\pi b}{2}} \right\}}.$$